

UNITED STATES EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	ON NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
99/254,521	03/ 08/99	HIGGS		٧	2390-1-001
Г		MM42/0105	٦	EXAMINER	
DAVID A JACKSON		7117727 0 1 0 3		PHAM,H	
KLAUBER & JACKSON				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
411 HACKENSACK AVENUE HACKENSACK NJ 07601				2877	. (
				DATE MAILED:	: 91/05/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No. 09/254,521

Applicant(s)

Higgs et al.

Office Action Summary Examiner

Hoa Q. Pham

Group Art Unit 2877



X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Mar 8, 1999	<u> </u>				
☐ This action is FINAL .					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for form in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	nal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expis longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reapplication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of CFR 1.136(a).	spond within the period for response will cause the				
Disposition of Claims					
X Claim(s) <u>1-10</u>	is/are pending in the application.				
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
Claim(s)					
☐ Claim(s)					
Claims are subject to restriction or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
☒ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Rev	view, PTO-948.				
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to	o by the Examiner.				
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	_ is □approved □disapproved.				
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
X Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).				
	priority documents have been				
🛛 received.					
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)					
\square received in this national stage application from the Inter	rnational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
*Certified copies not received:					
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).				
Attachment(s)					
⊠ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 —					
☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).	3				
Interview Summary, PTO-413					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948					
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152					
OFF OFFICE ACTION ON THE	TOLLOWING BACES				
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE F	-ULLUVVIIVG FAGES				

Application/Control Number: 09/254,521

Art Unit: 2877

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Drawings

2. The drawings filed on 3/8/99 are objected to as indicated on attached PTO-948.

Specification

- 3. The abstract which appears on the page of the PCT Gazette of published international application number WO98/11425 will be used as the abstract for this application.
- 4. The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout and content for patent applications. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

The following order or arrangement is preferred in framing the specification and, except for the reference to "Microfiche Appendix" and the drawings, each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as section headings. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) Title of the Invention.
- (b) Cross-References to Related Applications.
- © Statement Regarding Federally Sponsored Research or Development.
- (d) Reference to a "Microfiche Appendix" (see 37 CFR 1.96).
- (e) Background of the Invention.
 - 1. Field of the Invention.
 - 2. Description of the Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) Brief Summary of the Invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/254,521

Art Unit: 2877

- (g) Brief Description of the Several Views of the Drawing(s).
- (h) Detailed Description of the Invention.
- (I) Claim or Claims (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (j) Abstract of the Disclosure (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) Drawings.
- (1) Sequence Listing (see 37 CFR 1.821-1.825).

With respect to this application, the "headings" above should be inserted into the specification.

5. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

Page 5, the term " R_{r_1} " has not been defined. This is an example, applicant is required to correct all of the minor informalities such as typos, grammars, inconsistent use of terms (and/or numerals).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 6. Claims 1, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
 - a. Claim 1 line 6 is not clear, what "image" does applicant means here?
 - b. Claim 9 is not clear what frequency does applicant means here?
 - c. Claim 10 line 2, the terms "may be" do not provide a positive limitation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 09/254,521

Art Unit: 2877

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moore (of record) (2300 Journal of Crystal Growth) in view of Fairand et al (4,246,793).

Moore teaches a high intensity light has a power density in the order of 10 W/cm. Moore does not explicitly teach that the high intensity light has a power density in the range between 10 to 10 W/cm. However, such a feature is known in the art as taught by Fairand et al. Fairand et al discloses a nondestructive testing in which the light source has a power density of 2*10 W/cm. (column 5 lines 22-45). Those of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the power density of Moore between 10 to 10 W/cm as taught by Fairand et al. The rationale for this modification would have arisen from the fact that using such high power density would provide an accuracy when a high temperature material is detected.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Hoa Pham whose telephone number is (703) 308-4808. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-7722 or 7724.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956. Pham/hp

December 29, 1999

Hory here

Hoa Q. Priaminer